

PGAS Partitioned Global Address Space Languages

Coarray Fortran (CAF) Unified Parallel C (UPC)

Dr. R. Bader Dr. A. Block

May 2010



Design target for PGAS extensions:

smallest changes required to convert Fortran and C into robust and efficient parallel languages

- add only a few new rules to the languages
- provide mechanisms to allow

explicitly parallel execution: **SPMD style** programming model

data distribution: partitioned memory model

synchronization vs. race conditions

memory management for dynamic sharable entities

Standardization efforts:

- Fortran 2008 draft standard (now in DIS stage, publication targeted for August 2010)
- separately standardized C extension (work in progress; existing document is somewhat informal)

Execution model: UPC threads / CAF images

- Going from single to multiple execution contexts
 - CAF images:



- UPC uses zero-based counting
- UPC uses the term thread where CAF has images

- Replicate single program a fixed number of times
 - set number of replicates at compile time or at execution time
 - asynchronous execution loose coupling unless program-controlled synchronization occurs
- Separate set of entities on each replicate
 - program-controlled exchange of data
 - may necessitate synchronization



Execution model: Resource mappings

One-to-one:

• each image / thread executed by a single physical processor core

Many-to-one:

 some (or all) images / threads are executed by multiple cores each (e.g., socket could support OpenMP multi-threading within an image)

One-to-many:

- fewer cores are available to the program than images / threads
- scheduling issues
- useful typically only for algorithms which do not require the bulk of CPU resources on one image

Many-to-many

- Note:
 - startup mechanism and resource assignment method are implementation-dependent



CAF – intrinsic integer functions for orientation







PGAS memory model





Declaration of corrays/shared entities (simplest case)

CAF:

- coarray notation with explicit indication of location (coindex)
- symmetry is enforced (asymmetric data must use derived types – see later)



 more images → additional coindex value

UPC:

- uses shared attribute
- implicit locality; various blocking strategies
- asymmetry threads may have uneven share of data

	shared [1] shared		int A[1 int A[1	0]; 0];	
Th	Thread 0 1		1	2	3
	A[A[A[0] 4] 8]	A[1] A[5] A[9]	A[2] A[6]	A[3] A[7]
 more threads → e.g., A[4] moves to another physical memory 					



UPC shared data: variations on blocking

General syntax

for a one-dimensional array

shared [block size] type \
var name[total size];

- scalars and multi-dimensional arrays also possible
- Values for block size
 - omitted → default value is 1
 - integer constant (maximum value UPC_MAX_BLOCK_SIZE)
 - [*] → one block on each thread, as large as possible, size depends on number of threads
 - [] or [0] → all elements on one thread

Some examples:

shared [N] float A[N][N];

 complete matrix rows on each thread (≥1 per thread)

shared [*] int \
 A[THREADS][3];

- storage sequence matches with rank 1 coarray from previous slide (→ symmetry restored)
- static THREADS environment may be required (compile-time thread number determination)

CAF shared data: coindex to image mapping





Simplest example

 collective scatter: each thread/image executes one statement implying data transfer



one-sided semantics:

b = **a** (from image/thread q) "Pull"

CAF syntax:

```
integer :: b(3), a(3)[*]
```

b = a(:)[q]

di.

UPC syntax:

q: **same** value on all images/threads

int b[3];
shared [*] int a[THREADS][3];
for (i=0; i<3; i++) {
 b[i] = a[q][i];
}</pre>

Note:

 initializations of a and q omitted – there's a catch here ...



Locality control

CAF:

local accesses are fastest and trivial

integer :: a(3)[*]
a(:) = (/ ... /)

```
same as a (:) [this_image()]
but probably faster
```

- coarray \leftrightarrow coindexed object
- explicit coindex: usually a visual indication of communication
- supporting intrinsics:



UPC:

- implicit locality → cross-thread accesses easier to write
- ensuring local access: explicit mapping of array index to thread may be required (see next slide)
- supporting intrinsics:

shared [B] int A[N];

size t imq, pos;

0

2

3

a block of A on thread 4



Work sharing + avoiding non-local accesses

- Typical case
 - loop or iterator execution

CAF:

 index transformations between local and global

```
integer :: a(nlocal)[*]
do i=1, nlocal
    j = ... ! global index
    a(i) = ...
end do
```

UPC:

loop over all, work on subset

```
shared int a[N];
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
    if (i%THREADS == MYTHREAD) {
        a[i] = ...;
    }
}</pre>
```

- conditional may be inefficient
- cyclic distribution may be slow
- upc_forall
 - integrates affinity with loop construct



```
    affinity expression:
an integer → execute if
i%THREADS == MYTHREAD
a global address → execute if
upc_threadof (...) == MYTHREAD
example above: could replace "i"
with "&a[i]"
```



Race conditions – need for synchronization

Serial semantics

Parallel semantics

execution sequence

relaxed consistency



Focus on image pair q, p:



CAF terminology



How are shared entities accessed?

- relaxed mode \rightarrow program **assumes** no concurrent accesses from different threads
- strict mode → program ensures that accesses from different threads are separated, and prevents code movement across these implicit barriers
- relaxed is default; strict may have large performance penalty

Options for synchronization mode selection





CAF: partial synchronization



all images against one:





- only one thread at a time executes
- order is unspecified

critical

: ! statements in region end critical

can have a name, but this has no specific semantics



Memory fences and atomic subroutines – user-defined light-weight synchronization

atomic entities are **exempt** from the synchronization rules programmer's responsibility for proper handling

CAF: spin-lock example



 memory fence: prevents reordering of statements (A), enforces memory loads (for coarrays, B)

UPC:

- memory fence is defined by upc_fence;
- atomic functions: extension supported by Berkeley UPC

Remarks

- memory fence: implied by many other synchronization constructs
- atomic operations:
 - guarantee undivided state change, but not a particular ordering or appearance
 - light-weight if hardware supports atomic operations, betterperforming than the big global barrier hammer



Locks – fine grain synchronization

Coordinate access to shared (=sensitive) data

- sensitive data represented as "red balls"
- Use a coarray/shared lock variable
 - modified atomically
 - consistency across images/threads





Locks – fine grain synchronization

CAF:

simplest examples

```
use, intrinsic :: iso fortran env
type(lock_type) :: lock[*]
! default initialized to unlocked
logical :: got it
                         like critical. but
                           more flexible
lock(lock[1])
: ! play with red balls
unlock(lock[1])
do
  lock(lock[2], acquired_lock=got_it)
  if (got_it) exit
: ! do other stuff
end do
: ! play with other red balls
unlock(lock[2])
```

- lock must be a coarray → as many locks as there are images
- lock/unlock: no memory fence, only one-way segment ordering

UPC:

• single pointer lock variable



- thread-individual lock generation is also possible (non-collective)
- lock/unlock imply memory fence



Separate barrier completion point from waiting point

 this allows threads to perform other computations before they are required to wait



- completion of **upc_wait** implies synchronization
- collective all threads must execute sequence
- CAF:
 - presently does not have this facility in statement form
 - can define using locks



Remember pointer semantics

different between C and Fortran

<type> , [dimension (:[,:,])], pointer :: ptr</type>	
<pre>ptr => target ! ptr is an alias for target</pre>	no pointer arithmetic type and rank matching
<type> *ptr;</type>	pointer arithmetic rank irrelevant
<pre>ptr = &var ! ptr holds address of var</pre>	pointer-to-pointer pointer-to-void

Pointers and PGAS memory categorization

- both pointer entity and pointee might be private or shared → 4 combinations possible
- UPC: three of these combinations are realized
- CAF: only two of them allowed, and only in a limited manner ← aliasing only to local entities



CAF:

```
integer, target :: i1[*]
integer, pointer :: p1

type :: ctr
integer, pointer attribute
integer, pointer :: p2(:)
end type
type(ctr) :: o[*]
integer, target :: i2(3)
```

entity "o": typically asymmetric

UPC:

```
int *p1;
shared int *p2;
shared int *shared p3;
int *shared pdep; problem:
where does
pdep point?
all other threads
may not reference
```

 pointer to shared: addressing overhead





Dynamic entities: Memory management

collective allocation facilities which synchronize all images/threads

CAF:



 symmetric allocation required: same type, type parameters, bounds and cobounds on every image

deallocate(id)

 deallocation: synchronizes before carried out

UPC:



- layout equivalent to coarray on the left (note compile time constants)
- arguments of type size_t
- result is a pointer to shared (same value on each thread)
- deallocation

upc_barrier;

if (MYTHREAD==0) upc_free(id);

is not collective



UPC: Pointer blocking and casting





Declaration:

```
type :: shared_stuff
  real, allocatable :: r(:)[:]
  : ! other (maybe non-coarray) components
end type
```

- component must be allocatable
- type extension: base type must already have a coarray component

Usage / Semantics

- much like allocatable coarrays
- entities must be scalar, may not be allocatable or pointers

```
type(shared_stuff) :: o
allocate(o%r(100)[-4:*])) ! synchronizes
: ! use o%r
deallocate(o%r)
```



Non-synchronizing memory management facilities

CAF:

allocatable type components



sync all
b(1:size(o[p]%a)) = o[p]%a

... assuming b is large enough

UPC:

• two routines, both called by individual threads ...

 per-thread pointer to first element of multiple distributed blocks

shared void *upc_alloc(NBYTES);

- memory allocated in shared space on calling thread (→ single block with affinity)
- pointer to first element of allocated memory
- require shared pointer to handle data transfers ("directory")



Important cases:

- 1. coarray dummy arguments
- 2. local coarray entities
- 3. non-coarray dummy arguments associated with a coindexed object

Case 1:

- restrictions ensure that no copyin/out can occur
- for allocatable entities, synchronization can occur inside subprogram, symmetric call is required

Case 2:

- SAVE attribute required, no automatic entities
- allocatable is allowed → synchronization is implied

Case 3:

 copy-in/out will usually occur
 → additional synchronization rule needed





Separate include file

#include <upc_collective.h>

Two types:

- data redistribution (e.g., scatter, gather)
- computation operations (reduce, prefix, sort)

Synchronization mode:

onstants of type upc_flag_t



- entry or exit point, synchronize not at all / wrt data on entered threads / wrt all threads → allow function to read/write data
- can combine using "|"

Example:

void upc all reduceT(
 shared void *restrict dst,
 shared const void *restrict src,
 upc_op_t op, size_t nelems,
 size_t blk size, T(*func)(T, T),
 upc_flag_t flags);

• **T** is one of the following types:

C/UC – signed/unsigned char	L/UL – signed/unsigned long
S/US – signed/unsigned short	F/D/LD – float/double/long double
I/UI – signed/unsigned int	

• op is one of the following operations: UPC_ADD, UPC_MULT, UPC_AND, UPC_OR, UPC_XOR, UPC_LOGAND, UPC_LOGOR, UPC_MIN,UPC_MAX, UPC_FUNC, UPC_NONCOMM_FUNC



Parallel I/O extensions in UPC

Extension to UPC

- defined in upc_io.h
- provide collective I/O functions
- local and shared reads and writes (individual vs. shared file pointer)

Individual file pointer

- read thread-specific sections of a file
- write thread-specific sections of a file
- special flag for atomicity and consistency semantics (writes from multiple threads)

- otherwise weak semantics (upc_all_fclose(), upc_all_fsync())
- Shared file pointer
 - one pointer shared by all threads
 - cannot use in conjunction with pointers to local buffers
 - consistency requirements for arguments

I/O on shared vs. private data

 can do both using individual file pointers



Possible future developments

Teams

- load imbalanced problems (partial synchronization)
- recursive algorithms

Asyncs and Places

- memory and function shipping
- support for accelerator devices?
- Collective calls in CAF
 - maybe even asynchronous?
- Process topologies in CAF
 - more general abstraction than multiple coindices
- Global variables and co-pointers in CAF
 - increase programming flexibility
- Split-phase barrier in CAF
- Parallel I/O in CAF



Thank you for your attention!

Any questions?